



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	F	ILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/463,033		07/16/2000	GAVIN ROBERT FERRIS	P/2985-22	P/2985-22 5787	
2352	7590	06/17/2005		EXAM	INER	
		ER GERB & SOFI HE AMERICAS	CHUNG, JASON J			
NEW YOR				ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER		
•	-			2617		

DATE MAILED: 06/17/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	09/463,033	FERRIS ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Jason J. Chung	2611				
The MAILING DATE of this communicati Period for Reply	on appears on the cover sheet w	ith the correspondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATORY STATES (S) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication of the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) day of the period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutor Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, the Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	FION.  CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a stion.  s, a reply within the statutory minimum of thi y period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MO by statute, cause the application to become A	reply be timely filed  rly (30) days will be considered timely.  NTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status	•					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed or	n <u>29 <i>April 2005</i></u> .					
2a)⊠ This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)[	☐ This action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for a closed in accordance with the practice u						
Disposition of Claims						
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-42 is/are pending in the applied 4a) Of the above claim(s) 1-32 is/are with 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed.  6) ☐ Claim(s) 33-42 is/are rejected.  7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to.  8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction.	hdrawn from consideration.					
Application Papers						
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the E	xaminer.					
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)	10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.					
Applicant may not request that any objection						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority doc 2. Certified copies of the priority doc 3. Copies of the certified copies of the application from the International  * See the attached detailed Office action for	cuments have been received. cuments have been received in he priority documents have bee Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	Application No n received in this National Stage				
Attachment(s)	من المعادد الم	Summary (RTO, 412)				
<ol> <li>Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)</li> <li>Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-</li> </ol>	· —	Summary (PTO-413) o(s)/Mail Date				
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO Paper No(s)/Mail Date		Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)				

Application/Control Number: 09/463,033

Art Unit: 2611

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

## Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 33-42 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

# Specification

The abstract of the disclosure is objected to because the abstract contains more than one paragraph. Correction is required. See MPEP § 608.01(b).

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

- (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 1. Claims 33-35, 39-42 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Lappington (US Patent # 5,734,413) in view of Wharton (US Patent # 5,831,664).

Regarding claim 33, Lappington discloses an apparatus 32 for controlling the display of broadcast programming on a program display appliance 30 (column 8, line 62-column 9, line 9).

Lappington discloses a handheld device 32 including (i) a receiver for receipt of wireless incoming data transmissions from a communications network, the communications network being independent from that used for transmission of the broadcast programming (the TV signal is transmitted from a satellite and/or cable network whereas the interactive data is received from

Application/Control Number: 09/463,033

Art Unit: 2611

both said satellite and/or cable network and subsequently the IR network, which reads on independent: column 8, line 52-column 9, line 9), (ii) a display 398 (column 20, lines 1-26) for visual presentation of the incoming data, said visual presentation having content related to the content of the displayed broadcast programming and being timed in relation to the time of the displayed broadcast programming (live events: column 10, lines27-40; column 20, lines 63-67), (iii) a transmitter for sending wireless outgoing data transmissions to a communication network (column 9, lines 10-27), and (iv) a plurality of tactilely operated controls 394 to permit the device to interact with the display appliance 30 and the communication network (column 20, lines 27-50; interact with network: column 8, line 52-column 9, line 9).

Although Lappington discloses a transmitter for sending wireless outgoing data transmissions to a communication network and a display appliance 30, Lappington fails to disclose sending the outgoing data to THE network and the device to interact with the display appliance. In analogous art, Wharton discloses the device 12 send wireless signals to THE network (column 3, line 25-column 4, line 28) and device 12 interacts with display appliance 14 (column 7, lines 14-25) for the benefit of communicating with the server and permitting the user to select television channels. Accordingly, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify Lappington to have the device send wireless signals to THE network and the device interacts with display appliance as taught by Wharton for the benefit of communicating with the server and permitting the user to select television channels.

Regarding claim 34, Lappington discloses the visual presentation on the handheld device and the interaction of the handheld device with the communication network may occur in real

Application/Control Number: 09/463,033

Art Unit: 2611

ô

time or near real time relative tot eh time of the displayed broadcast programming (live events: column 10, lines27-40; column 20, lines 63-67).

Regarding claim 35, Lappington in view of Wharton discloses the display appliance is a television and the handheld device is a television remote control (column 7, lines 14-25).

Regarding claim 39, the limitations in claim 39 have been met in claim 33 rejection.

Regarding claim 40, the limitations in claim 40 have been met in claim 33 rejection. Wharton discloses operating an actuator on the device to initiate the outgoing data transmission to the communication network (column 3, line 25-column 4, line 28).

Regarding claim 41, Lappington in view of Wharton discloses the step of operating an actuator on the device to control an appliance (Wharton: column 3, line 25-column 4, line 28; column 7, lines 14-25) which receives the broadcast programming (Lappington: broadcast programming: column 8, lines 4-23).

Regarding claim 42, Lappington in view of Wharton discloses displaying both the visual presentation of the incoming data and the broadcast programming on the portable handheld device (Wharton: column 3, line 25-column 4, line 28).

2. Claim 36 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Lappington in view of Wharton in further view of Goldstein (US Patent # 5,410,326).

Regarding claim 36, although Lappington in view of Wharton discloses the remote control also controls the operation of media presentation appliances (TV), Lappington in view of Wharton fails to disclose a plurality of media presentation appliances. In analogous art, Goldstein discloses the remote control 5 also controls the operation of a plurality of media presentation appliances (column 7, lines 4-22) for the benefit of controlling a variety of

Art Unit: 2611

appliances. Accordingly, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify Lappington in view of Wharton to have the remote control also controls the operation of a plurality of media presentation appliances as taught by Goldstein for the benefit of controlling a variety of appliances.

3. Claims 37-38 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Lappington in view of Wharton in further view of Lomet (US Patent # 5,806,065).

Regarding claim 37, the limitations in claim 37 have been met in claim 33 rejection.

Although Lappington in view of Wharton discloses a wireless network (Lappington: satellite receiver and wireless transmission to remote control: claim 33 rejection), Lappington in view of Wharton fails to disclose a WAN. In analogous art, Lomet discloses a WAN (column 9, lines 27-50) for the benefit of being compliant with many real world implementations. Accordingly, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify Lappington in view of Wharton to have a WAN as taught by Lomet for the benefit of being compliant with a real world implementation.

Regarding claim 38, Lappington discloses the device compares timing cue points in the incoming data to a real time clock to time the device displays (live events: column 10, lines27-40; column 20, lines 63-67).

### Conclusion

Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

Application/Control Number: 09/463,033 Page 6

Art Unit: 2611

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Jason J. Chung whose telephone number is (571) 272-7292. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F, 7:30AM-5:00PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Chris Grant can be reached on (571) 272-7294. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

VIVEK SRIVASTAVA

JJC